
From: Henderson, Kim/SDO <Kimberly.Henderson@jacobs.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2018 2:01 PM
To: Liscio, Matthew P CIV SEA 04, NAVSEA DET RASO; Janda, Danielle L CIV
Cc: Hackett, John/DEN
Subject: [Non-DoD Source] RE: HPNS Parcel G draft Removal Site Evaluation Work Plan RTCs
Attachments: Regulator RTCs_Parcel G Work Plan_101118_rev102518_MPL_KH.docx

See attached version that addresses Matt's comments.

Have a good weekend!

-----Original Message-----

From: Liscio, Matthew P CIV SEA 04, NAVSEA DET RASO <matthew.liscio@navy.mil>
Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2018 1:26 PM
To: Henderson, Kim/SDO <Kimberly.Henderson@jacobs.com>; Janda, Danielle L CIV <danielle.janda@navy.mil>
Cc: Hackett, John/DEN <John.Hackett@jacobs.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: HPNS Parcel G draft Removal Site Evaluation Work Plan RTCs

Comment to response to my comment on the Response to comment to response to:

EPA General comment 2

Danielle, I like "main text." What are your thought?

EPA General Comment 15 subpart h

Since the comment calls out sampling SOPs it may be a good idea to mention that additional SOPs will be provided by APTIM in the WP addendum.

CDPH specific comment 54 subpart e

I added something to end of the response. If anyone disagrees I'm not too hung up on it though.

Everything else I marked as completed.

-----Original Message-----

From: Henderson, Kim/SDO <Kimberly.Henderson@jacobs.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2018 3:13 PM
To: Liscio, Matthew P CIV SEA 04, NAVSEA DET RASO <matthew.liscio@navy.mil>; Janda, Danielle L CIV <danielle.janda@navy.mil>
Cc: Hackett, John/DEN <John.Hackett@jacobs.com>
Subject: [Non-DoD Source] RE: HPNS Parcel G draft Removal Site Evaluation Work Plan RTCs

Hi - here is the updated version with responses and revisions based on Matt's comments and the revision per the comment from Thomas!

-----Original Message-----

From: Liscio, Matthew P CIV SEA 04, NAVSEA DET RASO <matthew.liscio@navy.mil>
Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2018 7:06 AM
To: Henderson, Kim/SDO <Kimberly.Henderson@jacobs.com>; Janda, Danielle L CIV <danielle.janda@navy.mil>
Cc: Hackett, John/DEN <John.Hackett@jacobs.com>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: HPNS Parcel G draft Removal Site Evaluation Work Plan RTCs

Attached are my comments. There are a few things that I think may have been overlooked and a few recommended changes/additions.

Best regards,
-Matt Liscio
Environmental Protection Manager
NAVSEADET RASO
1-757-887-4354

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic message is intended to be viewed only by the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited without prior permission. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, or if you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by return e-mail and delete the original message and any copies of it from your computer system.

-----Original Message-----

From: Henderson, Kim/SDO <Kimberly.Henderson@jacobs.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 24, 2018 7:43 PM
To: Janda, Danielle L CIV <danielle.janda@navy.mil>
Cc: Hackett, John/DEN <John.Hackett@jacobs.com>; Liscio, Matthew P CIV SEA 04, NAVSEA DET RASO <matthew.liscio@navy.mil>
Subject: [Non-DoD Source] RE: HPNS Parcel G draft Removal Site Evaluation Work Plan RTCs

Hi Danielle,
I addressed/responded to your comments in the RTCs and updated the edited/formatted text in the work plan to reflect the changes. See attached.
Thanks!
Kim

-----Original Message-----

From: Janda, Danielle L CIV <danielle.janda@navy.mil>
Sent: Wednesday, October 24, 2018 3:12 PM
To: Henderson, Kim/SDO <Kimberly.Henderson@jacobs.com>
Cc: Hackett, John/DEN <John.Hackett@jacobs.com>; Liscio, Matthew P CIV SEA 04, NAVSEA DET RASO <matthew.liscio@navy.mil>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: HPNS Parcel G draft Removal Site Evaluation Work Plan RTCs

Hi Kim,

See attached are my comments on the RTCs.

Matt, would you mind reading the track changes as a sanity check for myself?

Thank.s

V/r,
Danielle Janda

(619)524-6041

-----Original Message-----

From: Henderson, Kim/SDO <Kimberly.Henderson@jacobs.com>

Sent: Tuesday, October 23, 2018 3:13 PM

To: Janda, Danielle L CIV <danielle.janda@navy.mil>

Cc: Hackett, John/DEN <John.Hackett@jacobs.com>; Liscio, Matthew P CIV SEA 04, NAVSEA DET RASO <matthew.liscio@navy.mil>

Subject: [Non-DoD Source] RE: HPNS Parcel G draft Removal Site Evaluation Work Plan RTCs

Hi Danielle,

Here are the updated RTCs that address your comments from yesterday and include EPA's additional comments and responses.

Thanks!

Kim

-----Original Message-----

From: Janda, Danielle L CIV <danielle.janda@navy.mil>

Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 3:58 PM

To: Henderson, Kim/SDO <Kimberly.Henderson@jacobs.com>

Cc: Hackett, John/DEN <John.Hackett@jacobs.com>; Liscio, Matthew P CIV SEA 04, NAVSEA DET RASO <matthew.liscio@navy.mil>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: HPNS Parcel G draft Removal Site Evaluation Work Plan RTCs

Hi Kim,

I have some comments, attached.

Matt, I don't think you need to review. These are not that difficult, but if you want to, please feel free.

V/r,

Danielle Janda

(619)524-6041

-----Original Message-----

From: Henderson, Kim/SDO <Kimberly.Henderson@jacobs.com>

Sent: Monday, October 22, 2018 8:09 AM

To: Janda, Danielle L CIV <danielle.janda@navy.mil>

Cc: Hackett, John/DEN <John.Hackett@jacobs.com>

Subject: [Non-DoD Source] RE: HPNS Parcel G draft Removal Site Evaluation Work Plan RTCs

Good morning Danielle,

Here is an updated version to include CDPH's additional comments and responses. There is one question for you in the attached.

Thanks!

Kim

From: Henderson, Kim/SDO
Sent: Friday, October 19, 2018 12:53 PM
To: 'Janda, Danielle L CIV' <danielle.janda@navy.mil>
Cc: Hackett, John/DEN <John.Hackett@jacobs.com>
Subject: FW: HPNS Parcel G draft Removal Site Evaluation Work Plan RTCs

Hi Danielle,

Not sure how you will want to handle responses to responses but please see the attached redlines to reflect the additional comments and responses.

Thanks!

Kim

From: Bacey, Juanita@DTSC <Juanita.Bacey@dtsc.ca.gov <mailto:Juanita.Bacey@dtsc.ca.gov> >
Sent: Friday, October 19, 2018 8:37 AM
To: Janda, Danielle L CIV NAVFAC SW (danielle.janda@navy.mil <mailto:danielle.janda@navy.mil>)
<danielle.janda@navy.mil <mailto:danielle.janda@navy.mil> >
Cc: Pat Brooks (george.brooks@navy.mil <mailto:george.brooks@navy.mil>) (george.brooks@navy.mil
<mailto:george.brooks@navy.mil>) <george.brooks@navy.mil <mailto:george.brooks@navy.mil> >; Robinson, Derek J
CIV NAVFACHQ, BRAC PMO (derek.j.robinson1@navy.mil <mailto:derek.j.robinson1@navy.mil>)
<derek.j.robinson1@navy.mil <mailto:derek.j.robinson1@navy.mil> >; LEE, LILY (LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV
<mailto:LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV>) <LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV <mailto:LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV> >; Low, Tina@Waterboards
<Tina.Low@waterboards.ca.gov <mailto:Tina.Low@waterboards.ca.gov> >; Amy.Brownell@sfdph.org
<mailto:Amy.Brownell@sfdph.org> ; Henderson, Kim/SDO <Kimberly.Henderson@jacobs.com
<mailto:Kimberly.Henderson@jacobs.com> >; Wright, Matthew (CDPH-EMB) <Matthew.Wright@cdph.ca.gov
<mailto:Matthew.Wright@cdph.ca.gov> >; Singh, Sheetal (CDPH-EMB) <Sheetal.Singh@cdph.ca.gov
<mailto:Sheetal.Singh@cdph.ca.gov> >; Naito, Janet@DTSC <Janet.Naito@dtsc.ca.gov <mailto:Janet.Naito@dtsc.ca.gov>
>; Pettijohn, Julie@DTSC <Julie.Pettijohn@dtsc.ca.gov <mailto:Julie.Pettijohn@dtsc.ca.gov> >
Subject: [EXTERNAL] HPNS Parcel G draft Removal Site Evaluation Work Plan RTCs

Hi Danielle,

DTSC has reviewed the RTCs on the above Work Plan. We have a few follow-up comments as indicated below.

General Comments

1. DTSC accepts the response, but also requests that the last sentence be revised so that it is clear that 100% of the building site survey units will be investigated. We suggest the following revision: For the building site survey units, 100% surface scans were added to the work plan. 100% of the building site survey units will be investigated consistently and concurrently with the TUs. There is no longer a Phase II reduced sampling effort for the building site survey units. Additionally, the terms Building Sites and Building soil appear to be used in the work plan to describe building soil survey units. We recommend using just one term throughout the document for consistency.

2. No further comment
3. No further comment
4. No further comment
5. No further comment
6. It is not clear from the response if the 6 remaining TUs meet the US EPA criteria as indicated in US EPA General Comment #13. Clarification is needed.
7. DTSC finds the response acceptable in regards to in situ investigation of open excavations if a radiological exceedance is found. However, it is unclear if the work plan will still include six inches of soil beyond the trench walls to be excavated, and if so, will that soil be segregated in order to identify locations in the excavated trench that may require additional investigation. Please revise the text for clarification.
8. DTSC accepts the response and appreciates the Navy's willingness to conduct 100% surface scanning of all TUs and SUs. However, it is unclear if the TUs in Phase II (67% of all TUs) will have the asphalt removed prior to conducting the surface scans? Please clarify.
9. The response indicates that the Work Plan has been updated but provides no revised language for review. We will provide a response following review of the draft final work plan.
10. Supplemental Comment - Section 10.5 of the Work Plan indicates that air monitoring will be conducted and that the reporting of such is included in the SAP. SAP Worksheet #14, Data Evaluation and Reporting indicates that a report will be prepared to include a summary of the field activities. DTSC requests that the SAP clearly indicate that an air monitoring report, evaluating the dust and radiological data collected, will be included with the final Remedial Action Completion Report.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me. CDPH will provide any follow-up comments they may have in a separate email.

Nina Bacey, Project Manager

Sr. Environmental Scientist

Site Mitigation and Restoration Program

CA Dept. of Toxic Substances Control

700 Heinz Ave, Berkeley, CA 94710

(510) 540 - 2480

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)